**REVIEW FORM**

**PRE-COURSE ASSIGNMENT**

Please complete the review of one journal article by using the following guidelines to help you. Be thorough – a good review generally is between 1-5 pages. Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work and it helps you to develop also your own writing and publishing skills. Remember to be constructive – even if pointing out the major and important flaws do not forget about the good points either!

This review form is adapted from review forms applied in most journals.

**REVIEW FORM**

1. **Originality**: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?
2. **Relationship to Literature:** Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?
3. **Methodology:** Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

Given the focus of the course pay particular attention to***: How the author(s) argue for the selection of the particular method?***

1. **Results**: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Given the focus of the course pay particular attention to: ***How the authors present the data, analysis and findings****?* Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?
2. **Implications for research, practice and/or society**: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?
3. **Quality of Communication**: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

Normally, the review statements need to include also a recommendation (see the following options). However, these papers are published papers so you do not need to give a recommendation.

* Accept
* Minor Revision
* Major Revision
* Reject

Submit your review to me ([Ulla.Hytti@utu.fi](mailto:Ulla.Hytti@utu.fi)) by 5th October at the latest!